21 cm Cosmology Workshop 2023 & Tianlai Collaboration Meeting

HI intensity mapping with MeerKAT: forecast for delay power spectrum measurement using interferometer mode

Ming Zhang (张明)

Co-authors: Yichao Li, Jing-Fei Zhang, Xin Zhang Based on: https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.04445 accepted by MNRAS





International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research



19/07/2023 Ming Zhang | 21 cm Cosmology Workshop 2023 & Tianlai Collaboration Meeting

HI intensity mapping

F = 1

- F = 0 $f_0 = 1420 \text{ MHz}$ $\lambda_0 = 21 \text{ cm}$ Spin-Flip
- Cosmological information is on large scales
- Adopt low resolution without resolving individual galaxies
- Get intensity map of the HI 21 cm emission line like CMB but 3D!
- Excellent redshift resolution

 $f_{\rm obs} = \frac{1420}{1+z} \,\mathrm{MHz}$





Galaxies



[Simulations by S. Cunnington]

HI delay spectrum



$$P_{\rm D}(k_{\perp},k_{\parallel}) \equiv \frac{A_e}{\lambda^2 B} \frac{r^2 r_{\nu}}{B} \left| \tilde{V}(\boldsymbol{u},\tau) \right|^2 \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2k_{\rm B}} \right)^2$$



Advantages:

- The different spectral behaviors between HI signal and foreground make it possible to isolate the latter in the Fourier space.
- In addition, the Fourier conjugate variable is associated with the Line of sight cosmological distance, therefore the 'delay spectrum' constructed in this method can recover the cosmological 3D HI power spectrum.

MeerKAT





▶ 64*13.5m ▶ L band: 900–1200 MHz (0.18 < z < 0.58) ▶ UHF band: 580–1000 MHz (0.42 < z < 1.45)

HI signal power spectrum



z=1.2 (UHF band)

$$P_{\mathrm{HI}}(k,\mu,z) = \bar{T}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}(z)F_{\mathrm{RSD}}(k,\mu)P(k,z)$$
$$F_{\mathrm{RSD}}(k,\mu) = \left(b_{\mathrm{HI}}^{2}(z) + f\mu^{2}\right)^{2}\exp\left(-k^{2}\mu^{2}\sigma_{\mathrm{NL}}^{2}\right)$$

z=0.3 (L band)



 The scales available for HI IM in interferometer mode observation are limited by the detailed configuration

$$k_{\parallel}^{\min} = 2\pi/(r_{\nu}\Delta\nu/\nu_{21}), \qquad k_{\perp}^{\min} = 2\pi|\boldsymbol{u}|_{\min}/r,$$
$$k_{\parallel}^{\max} = 1/\sigma_{\mathrm{NL}}, \qquad k_{\perp}^{\max} = 2\pi|\boldsymbol{u}|_{\max}/r.$$

MeerKAT noise power spectrum

$$P_{\rm N}(k,\mu,z) = r^2(z)r_{\nu}(z)\frac{T_{\rm sys}^2\lambda^4}{n_{\rm pol}\nu_{21}t_{\rm int}A_e^2n(\boldsymbol{u})}$$

• 10 hours tracing of the COSMOS field



• The average number of MeerKAT baselines as a function of uv distance



Total power spectrum

- Total = signal + noise + shot noise + foregrounds
 - Poisson fluctuations in halo number: $P_{\text{HI}}^{\text{shot}}(z) = \left(\frac{\bar{T}_b(z)}{\rho_{\text{HI}}(z)}\right)^2 \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{M_{\text{max}}} dM \frac{dn}{dM} M_{\text{HI}}^2(M)$.



Power spectrum estimation

COSTA C

10 h observations at the different declinations





Power spectrum estimation



Different observation time of tracking the COSMOS field





Power spectrum estimation



Tracking different numbers of points in a 10000 h observation





Cosmological parameters estimation

$$F_{ij} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} V_{\text{bin}} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}\mu \int_{k_{\min}}^{k_{\max}} k^2 \mathrm{d}k \frac{\partial P_{\text{tot}}}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial P_{\text{tot}}}{\partial p_j}$$



- H(z): the hubble parameter
- $D_A(z)$: the angle diameter distance
- $f\sigma_8(z)$: the growth rate
- $b\sigma_8(z)$: the HI bias
- σ_{NL} : the non-linear dispersion scale



ΛCDM

Planck	L band	UHF band
$\sigma(H_0) = 0.59$	$\sigma(H_0) = 2.8$	$\sigma(H_0) = 2.0$
$\sigma(\Omega_m) = 0.008$	$\sigma(\Omega_m) = 0.044$	$\sigma(\Omega_m) = 0.028$



Figure 8. Constraints on Ω_m and H_0 with MeerKAT L-band and UHF-band in the Λ CDM model.

Dark energy parameters estimation

$$f_{\rm de}(z) = (1+z)^{3(1+w)}$$

	Error
Planck+L band	$\sigma(w) = 0.120$
Planck+ UHF band	$\sigma(w) = 0.080$
BINGO	$\sigma(w) = 0.130$
FAST	$\sigma(w) = 0.075$
Planck+SKA1-MID (single-dish mode)	$\sigma(w) = 0.013$
Planck+Tianlai (full cylinders)	$\sigma(w) = 0.014$

[Wu PJ & Zhang X, JCAP 2021]



Figure 9. Constraints on Ω_m , H_0 and w with MeerKAT L-band and UHFband in combination with *Planck* data in the *w*CDM model.



$w_0 w_a CDM$

$f_{\rm de}(z) = (1+z)^{3(1+w_0)}$	$+w_a$) exp $\left(-3w_a z/(1+z)\right)$
------------------------------------	---

	Error
Planck+L band	$\sigma(w_0) = 1.10$ $\sigma(w_a) = 4.30$
Planck+ UHF band	$\sigma(w_0) = 0.60$ $\sigma(w_a) = 2.00$
Planck+SKA1-MID (single-dish mode)	$\sigma(w_0) = 0.08$ $\sigma(w_a) = 0.25$
Planck+Tianlai (full cylinders)	$\sigma(w_0) = 0.11$ $\sigma(w_a) = 0.31$

[Wu PJ & Zhang X, JCAP 2021]



Figure 10. Constraints on Ω_m , H_0 , w_0 and w_a with MeerKAT L-band and UHF-band in combination with *Planck* data in the w_0w_a CDM model.





• Power spectrum

- The choice of survey fields significantly impacts the fractional errors on the power spectrum ($\Delta P/P$) within limited observational time of 10 hours.
- As the integration time increases from 10 hours to 10,000 hours, $\Delta P/P$ progressively decreases until cosmic variance begins to dominate.
- For a total observation time of 10,000 hours, the lowest Δ*P*/*P* at low *k* can be achieved by tracking 100 points for MeerKAT L-band and 10 points for MeerKAT UHF-band.

Dark energy

• MeerKAT HI IM survey in interferometer mode demonstrates limited capability in constraining the dark-energy equation of state, even when combined with Planck data.

Thanks for your listening!

